REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated April 13, 2011, the Assignee respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above amendments and on the following remarks.

Claims 1, 3-18, 20-22, 25, 28-30, and 32 are pending in this application. Claims 2, 19, 23-24, 26-27, and 31 have been, or previously were, canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Rejection of Claims under § 103 (a)

All pending claims 1, 3-18, 20-22, 25, 28-30, and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as allegedly being obvious over U.S. Patent 7,212,979 to Matz in view of U.S. Patent 6,983,478 to Grauch, et al.

Matz and Grauch, however, cannot be cited against the pending claims. Documents that would qualify as "prior art" under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e), 102 (f), or 102 (g) shall not preclude patentability when commonly owned at the time of invention, but, developed by another person. See 35 U.S.C. § 103 (c).

Here, both Matz and Grauch satisfy § 103 (c) and, thus, cannot be used to reject the pending claims. Both Matz and Grauch are U.S. patents with earlier effective filing dates and different inventive entities. Matz, Grauch, and this application were also commonly owned at the time of invention by the same assignee (namely, BellSouth Intellectual Property Corporation). The Assignee, then, respectfully asserts that Matz and Grauch cannot be cited against the pending claims. The Office is thus respectfully requested to remove the § 103 (a) rejection of these claims based on any combination involving Matz and Grauch.

If any issues remain outstanding, the Office is requested to contact the undersigned at (919) 469-2629 or scott@scottzimmerman.com.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted via the USPTO EFS web interface on July 12,2011.

Scott P. Zimmerman Attorney for the Assignee Reg. No. 41,390